

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/25/2022 8:49:22 PM

First name: CTVA

Last name: Action Committee

Organization:

Title:

Comments: We have assembled the following comments, information and issues from our members and other motorized recreationists for the project record. We appreciate the opportunity to provide our comments for the South Plateau Area Landscape Treatment Project Draft Environmental Assessment.

Thank you for considering our comments and issues.

Sincerely,

/s/ CTVA Action Committee on behalf of our 240 members and their families and friends

Capital Trail Vehicle Association (CTVA)

P.O. Box 5295

Helena, MT 59604-5295

ctva_action@q.com

CAPITAL TRAIL VEHICLE ASSOCIATION (CTVA)

CAPITAL TRAIL VEHICLE ASSOCIATION (CTVA)

PP..OO.. BBooxx 55229955

Helena, MT 59604-5295

Helena, MT 59604-5295

October 17, 2022

ATTN: South Plateau Project

Custer Gallatin National Forest

P.O. Box 520

West Yellowstone, MT 59758

Re: South Plateau Area Landscape Treatment Project Draft Environmental Assessment

Dear Project Team,

We have assembled the following comments, information and issues from our members and other motorized recreationists for the project record. We appreciate the opportunity to provide our comments for the South Plateau Area Landscape Treatment Project Draft Environmental Assessment. We enjoy riding our OHVs on primitive trails and roads in our public lands. All multiple-use land managed by the Forest Service including the South Plateau project area provides a significant source of these OHV recreational opportunities. Moreover, the pandemic has reconnected visitors to our public lands as a critical way to counter the stresses of ever day life. Ninety-eight percent of these visitors are looking for multiple-use activities including OHV recreation. We are passionate about OHV recreation for the following reasons:

Enjoyment and Rewards of OHV Recreation

- * Opportunity for a recreational experience for all types of people.
- * Opportunity to strengthen family relationships.
- * Opportunity to experience and respect the natural environment.
- * Opportunity to participate in a healthy and enjoyable sport.
- * Opportunity for relief from the pandemic.
- * Opportunity to experience a variety of opportunities and challenges.
- * Camaraderie and exchange of experiences.
- * We like to build and maintain trails for use by everyone.
- * We enjoy observing flora, fauna, and landscapes.
- * For the adventure and "flow" of it.

Acknowledged Responsibilities of Motorized Visitors

- * Responsibility to respect and preserve the natural environment. We are practical environmentalists who believe in a reasonable balance between the protection of the natural environment and the human environment.
 - * Responsibility to respect all visitors.
 - * Responsibility to use vehicles in a proper manner and in designated places.
- We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 1 of 32

- * Responsibility to work with land, resource, and recreation managers. We are committed to resolving issues through problem solving and not closures.
- * Responsibility to educate the public on the responsible use of motorized vehicles on public lands.

Motorized recreation represents and supports many different visitor interests. Supporting motorized recreation is the best way to support diversity of uses and multiple-use. This over-arching fact should be adequately addressed in the purpose and need and adequately considered in the analysis and decision. We are representative of the needs of most visitors who recreate on public lands but may not be organized with a collective voice to comment on their needs during the public input process. These independent multiple-use recreationists include visitors who use motorized routes for family outings and camping trips, weekend drives, mountain biking, sightseeing, exploring, picnicking, hiking, ranching, rock climbing, skiing, camping, hunting, RVs, shooting targets, timber harvesting, fishing, viewing wildlife, snowmobiling, accessing patented mining claims, and collecting firewood, natural foods, rocks, etc. Mountain bikers have been observed to prefer OHV trails because we clear and maintain the trails and the trails have a desirable surface for biking.

Multiple-use also provides for the needs of physically challenged visitors including the elderly and veterans who must use wheeled vehicles to visit public lands. These multiple-use visitors use roads and motorized trails for their recreational purposes and the preferred alternative and decision should adequately consider motorized designations serve many recreation activities, not just recreational trail riding. We have observed and documented that 98% of the visitors to our public lands are represented by the activities discussed above. Ninety-eight percent of the visitors are there to enjoy activities associated with motorized access and motorized recreation.

We have been listening to and documenting significant issues and information from our members, families, and friends for the past 40 years. Following this letter is an outline of the significant issues and information that should be adequately considered during the evaluation and decision-making. The agency's decisions have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment related to motorized recreationists and the agency must give the entire spectrum of the human environment adequate consideration.

Our position is that the existing system of motorized routes does not adequately meet the needs discussed above. The lack of high-quality motorized trails is the over-arching significant issue. The closing of any motorized routes or the conversion to non-motorized is contrary to the needs of the public.

The analysis should adequately consider the human environment. Adequate consideration of the human environment is woven into many of our significant issues. The agency should avoid decisions that make relatively insignificant improvements to natural resources at a cost of significant impacts to the human environment. The agency's decisions should be based on impartial consideration of all issues. The agency's decisions should be made with a reasonable sense of magnitude. The public will be significantly impacted if the agency only considers natural resources without reasonable consideration of the human environment. One-sided consideration of issues is not acceptable for public lands and especially for those designated for multiple-use.

The agency's project team should adequately consider that NEPA 1969 directed consideration of the human environment and the natural environment. The agency should adequately consider the We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 2 of 32

value of motorized recreation opportunities on the human environment using site-specific data and analysis addressing social and economic values and impacts; the need for recreation and healthy activities; the need to experience "flow" and nirvana; the need to exercise our culture; and the need to address obesity and suicide issues, and the need to address physical and mental health needs. NEPA 1969 was intended to protect and promote all environments equally. The depth and breadth of site-specific data and analysis of the human environment should be equal to that of the natural environment.

We oppose the closure of Road 478 along the South Fork of the Madison River as this is a high quality, high value scenic route. Forest fires in the watershed have contributed thousands of times more sediment to the Madison River system than this road system. We encourage you to use an adequate sense of magnitude when evaluating improvements to water quality. Conceptually Road 478 may contribute sediment to the stream but in the big picture it is miniscule compared to natural sediment sources. Additionally, site-specific data should be used to establish the amount of sediment from the road and then compared to the natural sediment load in the stream.

The public would greatly benefit from continued management for multiple-uses including an enhanced system of OHV routes and less designated or defacto wilderness area. The pandemic has brought visitors back to our public lands and 98% of them are looking for multiple-use activities. Therefore, we oppose the closure of any motorized access and motorized recreational opportunities and the development of a Pro-Recreation Alternative.

South Plateau Area Landscape Treatment Project Draft Environmental Assessment should adequately address 19 significant issues associated with inadequate consideration of motorized recreational opportunities and the significant impacts on motorized recreationists that have occurred in the past 40 years. We strongly oppose the excessive closure of motorized access and motorized recreational opportunities. We are providing this information to assist you with the development of a purpose and need statement and evaluation that will fully develop and support a reasonable Pro-Recreation alternative.

One significant issue to address right up front is the development of detailed site-specific data and

analysis by 4x4 and OHV enthusiasts. These team members should be experienced using a wide spectrum of motorized routes so that the value and need for an adequate quantity and variety of motorized routes is adequately documented. The analysis and documents should include easily interpretable maps with adequate detail so that the public can easily understand what areas and routes are being evaluated and comment on them. Maps should include all existing motorized roads and trails including motorized singletrack trails. Maps should be developed and evaluated with input from 4x4 and OHV enthusiasts.

We understand that the Project Team is under pressure from those opposed to motorized access and recreation. We have experienced the vast closure of motorized access and motorized recreational opportunities that have gone far beyond reasonable and justifiable decisions because of that influence. We ask that the Project Team review our issues and work on refinements to the analysis and plan that would adequately address and mitigate these significant issues. We ask the Team to use these comments and information as support and justification for more motorized access and recreational opportunities.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 3 of 32

We are looking forward to reviewing to your consideration of these significant issues and your use of them to develop a reasonable Pro-Recreation Alternative for the South Plateau Area Landscape Treatment Project Draft Environmental Assessment.

Thank you for considering our comments and issues.

Sincerely,

/s/ CTVA Action Committee on behalf of our 240 members and their families and friends

1

Capital Trail Vehicle Association (CTVA)
P.O. Box 5295
Helena, MT 59604-5295
ctva_action@q.com

Contacts:

Mike Sedlock, President
Jody Loomis, VP
Doug Abelin
Ken Salo

Attachments: Significant Issues and Information

1

CTVA is also a member of Montana Trail Vehicle Riders Association (mtvra.com), Blue Ribbon Coalition (sharetrails.org), and New Mexico Off highway Vehicle Alliance (nmohva.org),. Individual memberships in the American Motorcycle Association (ama-cycle.org), Citizens for Balanced Use (citizensforbalanceduse.com), Montana

4X4 Association, Inc. (m4x4a.org), Snowmobile Alliance of Western States (snowmobile-alliance.org), and United Four Wheel Drive Association (ufwda.org)

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 4 of 32

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES AND INFORMATION
FOR THE SOUTH PLATEAU AREA LANDSCAPE TREATMENT
PROJECT DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

The following are significant issues information that should be adequately addressed by the South Plateau Area Landscape Treatment Project Draft Environmental Assessment. These significant issues deserve to be given a hard look. We ask that the Project Team review this information and work on refinements to the analysis and plan that will adequately address and mitigate these scoping and significant issues. We ask the project team to use these issues to reverse the massive, motorized closure trend and as justification for the development of enhanced motorized access and recreational opportunities in the South Plateau Area Landscape Treatment Project Draft Environmental Assessment.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 5 of 32

1. Develop a Reasonable Alternative to Address the Public's Need for More
Motorized Access and Motorized Recreational Opportunities

1. The agency should adequately review recent aerial photographs of the project area and ride the area on an OHV with all types of OHVs and skill levels to determine the routes that the public currently uses and needs in the project area.
2. The agency should adequately consider that there are over 50,000,000 OHV recreationists in the United States and about 100,000 OHV recreationists in Montana plus a significant number of out-of-state OHV visitors.
3. The agency should adequately consider that motorized access and motorized recreation are the #1 use of the project area.
4. The agency should adequately recognize that motorized recreationists are underserved.
5. The agency should adequately consider that motorized recreationists now include e-bike enthusiasts who have been excluded from sharing hiking, walking and mountain bike trails.
6. The agency should adequately consider and carry forward an alternative that would provide a reasonable level of motorized trail opportunities to meet the existing and future needs of OHV recreationists.
7. The agency should adequately consider that adjacent travel plans did not adequately consider the needs of motorized recreationists at the time. Moreover, conditions and information has changed dramatically as documented by our comments.
8. The Agency should adequately identify and consider the needs of motorized recreationists and OHV recreationists including those motorized recreationists that the process does not comfortably accommodate and reasonably provide for those needs.
9. The Dyrtr's 2022 Camping Report (<https://reports.thedyrt.com/2022-camping-report/>) found that

it is 3x harder to book a campground now than in pre-pandemic years. While over 8 million new people joined the camping community last year, the campground and dispersed camping capacity has not increased.

10. The agency should adequately consider the need for RV friendly campgrounds, rehabilitated campgrounds, new campgrounds, and existing and new dispersed camping opportunities in the project area in order to meet the needs of public.

11. The opening and closing dates for many campgrounds is too late and too early respectively for the many campers and retirees who enjoy an extended camping season. Adequate dispersed camping opportunities are critically important to these visitors.

12. The agency should adequately consider that the public needs to be able to camp and picnic using at least a 300-foot setback from roads for the safety of children and pets and health (dust).

13. The agency should adequately consider that E-bikes have become popular in the last 5 years including:

a. E-bikes have significant positive impacts on the human environment.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 6 of 32

b. E-bikes do not have any greater impact on the natural environment than mountain bikes.

c. E-bikes should be allowed on all non-wilderness trails.

d. E-bikes should be legal to use everywhere except for congressionally designated wilderness for people who are over 55 years of age or have a qualifying physical limitation.

e. The Agency should give E-bikes proper procedural consideration including public input on their use on all existing non-wilderness trails.

14. The agency should adequately consider and understand the needs of motorcycle single-track recreationists and adequately provide for those needs.

15. The agency should adequately consider that the public prefers dispersed camping spots and that is consistent with the need for social distancing.

16. The agency should adequately consider that there is an inadequate number of dispersed camping spots in the project area and the preferred alternative should address this significant issue.

17. The agency should adequately consider that the pandemic and social distancing requirements have significantly increased the public need for more OHV opportunities.

18. The agency should adequately consider that the pandemic and social distancing requirements have significantly increased the public need for more dispersed camping opportunities.

19. The agency should adequately recognize that closure takes away needed motorized recreational opportunities and education in place of closure can be used to address issues with existing motorized recreational opportunities.

20. The agency should adequately consider that education can be part of creating new motorized recreational opportunities.

21. The agency should adequately consider that all potential negative issues associated with non-motorized and motorized recreationists can be mitigated by education and that education of all visitors should be used as an alternative to closure.

22. The project team should include an adequate number of enthusiasts for all types of motorized recreation including OHVs, 4x4s, and e-bikes.

23. The agency should adequately consider the full recreation opportunity spectrum for motorized

recreationists including e-bikes, e-motorcycles, singletrack motorcycles, ATV, SxS, 4x4, and automobile.

24. The agency should adequately consider that the public has effectively lost the use of a significant number of trails and routes each year due to inadequate maintenance including vegetation and timber projects, erosion and flood damage, blockage from beetle kill downfall, and obliteration of the trail tread and downfall from wildfires.

a. The cumulative effect of this continual loss has become significant and should be addressed and mitigated.

2. Develop a Reasonable Alternative to Address the Need for Motorized Access and Motorized Recreation for Youth

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 7 of 32

1. The agency should adequately consider that youth need motorized recreational opportunities that are relatively close to town.
2. The agency should adequately consider alternatives that would adequately provide motorized opportunities to replace the closure of opportunities close to town.
3. The agency should adequately consider and address the youth suicide issue that exists in every western state and the critical need that youth have for healthy activities such as OHV recreation.
4. Consideration for motorized trail riding opportunities for the youth should be given a hard look.

3. Develop a Reasonable Alternative to Address the Need for Motorized Access and Motorized Recreation for the Elderly, Handicapped, and Disabled

1. The agency should adequately consider that the elderly, handicapped, and disabled need motorized recreational opportunities that are relatively close to town.
2. The agency should adequately consider that the project area is used extensively by elderly, handicapped, disabled and veterans and motorized closures significantly impact this user group.
3. The agency should adequately consider alternatives that would adequately provide motorized opportunities to replace the closure of opportunities close to town.
4. The agency should adequately consider reasonable alternatives that would adequately provide motorized opportunities that adequately meet the needs of the elderly, disabled and veterans.
5. Consideration for motorized trail riding opportunities for the disabled, elderly, and veterans should be given a hard look.

4. Adequately Address the Impacts on and Benefits of Motorized Recreation on the Human Environment

1. The agency should adequately consider that the human environment needs significantly more positive experiences.

4.a Human Environment and Mental Health Crisis

1. The agency should adequately consider that supporting the human environment is an important function of our public lands and must be considered equally in management actions.

2. The agency's management plan should adequately recognize that humans and their needs are

different and diverse.

3. The nation is in a mental health crisis as demonstrated by Sandy Hook, Buffalo, Uvalde, and more.

a. We need more positive mental health activities for everyone including youth and elders.

b. OHV recreation is a positive mental health activity.

c. Everyone needs to learn to share public lands so that we all have adequate recreational opportunities.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 8 of 32

d. We all need to do our part by sharing and creation of opportunities in order to address this problem.

4. The agency should adequately consider that Montana is ranked 5th in the nation for suicides. Other states surrounding Montana have similar suicide rates.

5. The agency should adequately consider that the significant closing of motorized routes in the project area should meet the basic requirement of the NEPA act of 1969 as stated in "Sec. 101 (b) (5) achieve a balance between population and resource use which will permit high standards of living and a wide sharing of life's amenities".

6. The agency should adequately consider that a healthy human environment includes adequate motorized access and motorized recreational opportunities as required to meet the needs of the public.

7. The agency should adequately recognize its propensity to reduce motorized access and motorized recreational opportunities and that this partiality is contrary to the needs of the public.

8. The agency should adequately consider that the public needs robust access to all forms of recreation for a healthy state of mind including adequate stress relief.

9. The Forest Service should adequately consider the needs of the human environment including recognition of the fact that 97-98% of the visitors to the Custer Gallatin National Forest rely on some form of motorized access and motorized recreation.

10. Motorized recreationists now include e-bike enthusiasts who have been excluded from sharing hiking, walking and mountain bike trails.

11. The agency should adequately consider the human environment. NEPA was intended to protect and promote all environments equally. The depth and breadth of analysis of the Human Environment should be equal to that of the Natural Environment.

12. The agency should adequately consider the needs of the human environment. The public needs more not less motorized access and motorized recreational opportunities including dispersed camping. The pandemic has demonstrated the significance of this issue and need.

13. The agency should adequately consider that seeking control is a good thing but only up to a point. Beyond that point, the determination to control every little thing can make you miserable.

https://greatergood.berkeley.edu/article/item/why_losing_control_make_you_happier

14. The agency should adequately consider an alternative that would maximize recreation opportunities in proportion to the needs of actual visitors to the project area.

15. The agency should adequately consider an alternative based on spending more of its NEPA budget directly on trail maintenance which would have a greater benefit to both the natural and human environment.

a. The agency should adequately address how its large NEPA budget produces significant impacts on the human environment through recreational closures including motorized.

The agency should adequately mitigate these impacts and modify its future budgeting process.

16. The agency should adequately consider that the current lack of adequate positive recreational opportunities is producing a significant impact on the human environment including suicide and mental illness.

4.b Enjoyment and Rewards Of Motorized Access And Recreation

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 9 of 32

- * Opportunity for a recreational experience for all types of people.
- * Opportunity to strengthen family relationships.
- * Opportunity to experience and respect the natural environment.
- * Opportunity to participate in a healthy and enjoyable sport.
- * Opportunity for relief from the pandemic.
- * Opportunity to experience a variety of opportunities and challenges.
- * Camaraderie and exchange of experiences.
- * We like to build and maintain trails for use by everyone.
- * We enjoy observing flora, fauna, and landscapes.
- * For the adventure and "flow" of it.

1. The agency's evaluation of the human environment should include the significant value of flow that is provided by motorized recreation. OHV riding is our time to relax and find the "flow". "Flow is a state of mind in which a person becomes fully immersed in an activity. Positive psychologist Mihály Csíkszentmihályi describes flow as a state of complete immersion in an activity. Being immersed can be defined as a state of focus in which a person is completely absorbed and engrossed in their work." It often occurs when you are doing something that you enjoy and in which you are quite skilled. "This state is often associated with the creative arts such as painting, drawing, or writing. However, it can also occur while engaging in a sport, such as skiing, tennis, soccer, dancing, or running." OHV riding that provides a balance of skill versus challenge allows one to get so focused that time stops and anxieties, worries, apathy, and boredom disappear.

<https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-flow-2794768>

<https://youtu.be/8h6IMYRoCZw>

<https://youtu.be/fXleFJCqSPs>

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 10 of 32

4.c Acknowledged Responsibilities of Motorized Visitors

- * Responsibility to respect and preserve the natural environment. We are practical environmentalists who believe in a reasonable balance between the protection of the natural environment and the human environment.
- * Responsibility to respect all visitors.
- * Responsibility to use vehicles in a proper manner and in designated places.
- * Responsibility to work with land, resource, and recreation managers. We are committed to resolving issues through problem solving and not closures.
- * Responsibility to educate the public on the responsible use of motorized vehicles on public lands.

1. Motorized recreation represents and supports many different visitor interests. Supporting

motorized recreation is the best way to support diversity of uses and multiple-use. This overarching fact should be adequately addressed in the purpose and need and adequately considered in the analysis and decision. We are representative of the needs of most visitors who recreate on public lands but may not be organized with a collective voice to comment on their needs during the public input process. These independent multiple-use recreationists include visitors who use motorized routes for family outings and camping trips, weekend drives, mountain biking, sightseeing, exploring, picnicking, hiking, ranching, rock climbing, skiing, camping, hunting, RVs, shooting targets, timber harvesting, fishing, viewing wildlife, snowmobiling, accessing patented mining claims, and collecting firewood, natural foods, rocks, etc. Mountain bikers have been observed to prefer OHV trails because we clear and maintain the trails and the trails have a desirable surface for biking.

2. Multiple-use also provides for the needs of physically challenged visitors including the elderly and veterans who must use wheeled vehicles to visit public lands. These multiple-use visitors use roads and motorized trails for their recreational purposes and the preferred alternative and decision should adequately consider motorized designations serve many recreation activities, not just recreational trail riding. We have observed and documented that 98% of the visitors to our public lands are represented by the activities discussed above. Ninety-eight percent of the visitors are there to enjoy activities associated with motorized access and motorized recreation.

4.d Public Safety

1. The agency should adequately consider public safety by forcing camping, picnicking, and other motorized access activities in close proximity to well-traveled roads.
2. The agency should adequately consider public safety by squeezing motorized activities into limited miles of roads and trails.

5. Should Not Over-Represent the Public's Need for More Wilderness

1. The agency should adequately consider that less than 3% of the visits to our public lands are for wilderness recreation and 97% of the visits are for multiple-use.
2. The agency should adequately consider that management of our public lands should reflect the ratio of visitors and meet their needs in an equal manner.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 11 of 32

3. The agency should adequately consider that wilderness is not managed for beneficial use and health and to create more defacto wilderness only compounds the problem.
4. The agency should adequately consider that the current planning process is being used as a backdoor process to create defacto wilderness areas by closing motorized access and motorized recreation on lands designated for multiple-use.
5. The agency should adequately consider that the acreage set aside for wilderness and wilderness study areas is significantly greater than the needs of less than 3% of the public.
6. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude for public needs should be used when managing wilderness versus multiple-use land.
7. The agency should adequately consider that the evaluation and decision should consider the acres per wilderness visitor (3% of the observed visits) versus acres per motorized visitor (97% of the observed visits).
8. The agency should adequately consider that lands designated by congress for multiple-use should not be managed by wilderness standards.
9. The agency should adequately consider the acres per wilderness visitor versus acres per multiple-use visitor both before and after the proposed action.

6. Properly Consider Roadless Areas

1. The agency should adequately consider that any conversion of lands designated by congress for multiple-use to defacto wilderness lands circumvents congressional laws regarding multiple use and the wilderness designation process.

7. Adequately Consider and Disclose the Cumulative Impact of All Motorized Closures

1. The agency should adequately consider that public access to public lands and the use of public lands have declined dramatically over the past 40 years due to management trends.

2. The agency should adequately consider that development of mining claims and other private lands has had a significant cumulative impact on public access to dispersed camp sites and routes.

3. The agency should adequately consider, evaluate, and disclose those trends to the public including the significant cumulative impacts of closure and reduced use on the health of the public land and the health of the public including the significant need for motorized access and recreation.

a. The health of the human environment must be given a hard look.

b. Nothing in NEPA and CEQ guidance says that the health of the natural environment should prevail over the health of the human environment.

c. The health of the human environment must be given consideration equal to the natural environment.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 12 of 32

d. The agency should adequately consider that it has created significant cumulative impacts on the human environment by closing an excessive amount of multiple-use land to motorized access and motorized recreation.

e. The agency should adequately consider that motorized recreationists have been hammered by motorized closure after motorized closure in Montana and surrounding states.

4. The agency should adequately consider that travel planning and other planning actions have closed 25 to 75% of the historic motorized routes and all cross-country opportunities since the 1960's.

5. The agency should adequately consider that the analysis should adequately disclose and evaluate the amount of motorized access and motorized recreation that has been lost to public use since the 1960's.

6. The agency should adequately consider and mitigate the significant negative cumulative effect of all motorized closures on the public.

7. The agency should adequately consider and mitigate the significant negative cumulative effect of all motorized closures on the youth, disabled, elderly, and veterans.

8. Every weekend we talk to fellow motorized recreationists and they ask us where they can go to ride trails and camp in dispersed areas. The agency should adequately consider that the public has been squeezed into too small of an area with too few motorized routes.

9. The agency should adequately consider that the cumulative effect of this action combined with many other similar motorized closure decisions significantly affects our pursuit of happiness and the quality of the human environment.

10. The agency should adequately consider that the continual closure of motorized access and

motorized recreation on lands managed demonstrates the intent to eliminate motorized access and motorized recreation without adequately disclosure of that intent.

11. The agency should adequately consider that significant cumulative effects have occurred because motorized recreationists cannot successfully change or challenge the Agency's predisposition to motorized closures.

12. The agency should adequately consider that motorized closures since 1985 meet the NEPA and CEQ test for significance with respect to cumulative effects and cumulative effects should be adequately considered in the analysis.

13. The agency should adequately consider that agency actions and mining claims are closing much needed dispersed camp spots during a pandemic when the public needs more dispersed camp sites.

14. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude should be used to identify the significant cumulative impact that motorized recreationists have experienced over the past 40 years.

15. The agency should adequately consider that the analysis and decision should consider the massive amount of multiple-use land originally used for beneficial use that has effectively been converted to defacto wilderness and limited or exclusive-use land.

16. The agency should adequately consider that it uses every opportunity to close dispersed camp sites, motorized spur routes, and motorized roads and trails and has not adequately evaluated and considered the cumulative impact of that trend on the human environment.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 13 of 32

17. The agency should adequately consider that all of the defacto motorized closures that have resulted from vegetation and timber projects, erosion and flood damage, blockage from beetle kill downfall, and obliteration of the trail tread and downfall from wildfires have a significant impact on the public's opportunity to enjoy motorized access and motorized recreation.

a. The cumulative effect of this continual loss has become significant and should be addressed and mitigated.

18. The Agency must adequately evaluate and disclose significant cumulative effects that their management decisions have created.

19. The agency should provide full and adequate disclosure of the cumulative effects of all motorized closures on the public so that the decision does not marginalize motorized recreational opportunities.

20. The agency should adequately consider that multiple-use is now squeezed into an unreasonably limited area.

a. Every weekend we talk to fellow motorized recreationists and they ask us where they can go to ride trails and camp in dispersed areas. The agency should adequately consider that the public has been squeezed into too small of an area with too few motorized routes.

b. The agency should adequately consider that motorized recreationists have been squeezed into an inadequate area because of other users including hikers, equestrians and mountain bikers who find motorized opportunities, refuse to share with motorized recreationists, and then force motorized recreationists out so that they have exclusive use.

8. Recognize the Need for Long-Distance Motorized Trail Systems

1. The agency should adequately consider that it has developed many long distance non-motorized trail systems including the CDNST and PCT and has not developed any long-

distance trail systems for motorized recreationists.

2. The agency should adequately consider that long distance motorized trail systems would see far more use than non-motorized trails.

3. The agency should adequately consider that long distance motorized trail systems would provide far more benefit to the human environment including therapeutic recreation and economic benefit than non-motorized trails.

4. The agency should adequately consider that;

a. Closures of motorized sections of the CDNST have been enacted without adequate consideration of the requirements of the National Trails System Act (16 U.S.C.

1241) (CDNST enabling law),

b. Without adequate consideration of the CDNST EIS and ROD dated April 7, 1989,

c. Without adequate consideration of the policy memorandum by the Deputy Forester dated July 3, 1997,

d. Without adequate consideration of the policy memorandum by the Deputy Forester dated February 1, 2006,

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 14 of 32

e. Corrective action for illegal closures of motorized sections of the CDNST should be part of this decision.

9. Adequately Identify and Address the Imbalance of Motorized Trail

Opportunity in our Public Lands including State Parks, National Forest, BLM, and NPS.

1. The agency should adequately consider that with unrestricted cross-country access, the opportunity for non-motorized recreationists is infinite.

2. The agency should adequately consider the miles of non-motorized trail and cross-country opportunity provided in wilderness and defacto wilderness areas to non-motorized recreationists.

3. The agency should adequately consider the comparison of non-motorized trail and cross-country opportunity to motorized trail opportunity including the miles of trails, quality of experience, costs and conditions, and number of users.

4. The agency should adequately consider that every Agency action creates more non-motorized trail and cross-country opportunities.

5. The agency should adequately consider that;

a. Non-motorized recreationists have hundreds of potential opportunities in the project area including cross-country travel to any desired location.

b. Motorized recreationists are limited to a small system of designated routes.

10. Provide for a Reasonable Level of Multiple Use

1. The agency should adequately consider that motorized access and motorized recreation are the #1 use of the project area.

2. The agency should adequately consider that the lands in the project area are designated by congress for multiple-use.

3. The agency should adequately consider that lands designated by congress for multiple-use should not be managed by wilderness standards.

4. The agency should adequately recognize that signs of human use such as roads, trails, and dispersed camp sites are reasonable for land designated for multiple-use.

5. The agency should adequately consider that sharing should be the expectation on all multiple-use land otherwise multiple-use land becomes exclusive-use land.

6. The agency should adequately consider that the action should not illegally convert lands designated for multiple-use by congress into defacto wilderness areas.

7. The agency should adequately consider that the existing routes, mines, historic use, current use, and greater needs of the public demonstrate that the proposed non-motorized areas do not qualify as wilderness and, therefore, should not be treated as wilderness.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 15 of 32

8. The agency should adequately consider that Congress recognized that management for multiple-use best meets the needs of the public and provided that direction in their multiple-use laws.
9. The agency should adequately consider that management for multiple-use best meets the overall needs of the public.
10. The agency should not apply wilderness standards to lands designated for multiple-use.
11. The agency should adequately consider that some visible use of the land for the good of the public is reasonable and acceptable.
12. The agency should not convert congressional designated multiple-use lands to defacto wilderness.
13. The agency should not circumvent congressional law and the wilderness designation process.
14. The agency should adequately consider that equality needs to be restored to public lands by restoring wide-ranging multiple-use management to all multiple use lands.
15. The agency should adequately consider that multiple use land should be used for the greatest good and not manipulated for elite and exclusive use only.
16. The agency should not reward those that demand exclusive use of resources.
17. The agency should adequately consider that public land is for the benefit of all the public (not just exclusive uses) which can only be reasonably accomplished by management for a broad spectrum of uses (multiple-use).
18. The agency should adequately consider that it is not reasonable to reward individuals unwilling to share multiple-use lands with exclusive-use of those lands.
19. The agency should adequately consider that the project area is not designated wilderness and that some visual use of multiple-use land is reasonable and acceptable.
20. The agency should adequately consider that managing lands designated by congress for multiple uses by wilderness standards is not legal.
21. The agency should adequately consider that there is a shortage of multiple-use land to meet the needs of 97% of the public because too much has been converted to wilderness or defacto wilderness which only benefits 3% of the public.
22. The agency should adequately consider that during the past 40 years federal agencies have created a shortage of multiple-use land by management action that have created an excessive amount of wilderness, defacto wilderness, segregated-use, and limited-use land.
23. The agency should adequately consider that it is acceptable and reasonable to put lands designated for multiple-use to beneficial use including putting people to work and providing quality recreational opportunities for the public that owns them.
24. The agency should adequately consider that beneficial use should be the #1 goal for all multiple-use lands.
25. The agency should adequately consider that developing and selecting a robust multiple-use alternative as opposed to a marginalized multiple-use alternative would better serve the over-arching needs of the public.
26. The agency should adequately consider the acres per wilderness/non-motorized visitor versus acres per multiple-use visitor both before and after the proposed action.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 16 of 32

27. The agency should seek to develop an alternative and analysis that would provide a reasonable level of multiple-use and a decision that would provide more motorized access and motorized recreation.

28. The agency should adequately recognize that everyone has a duty to participate in sharing of public resources and especially on lands designed for multiple-use by congress.

a. The agency should adequately recognize that OHV recreationists are willing to share recreational opportunities with all other recreationists.

b. The agency should adequately recognize that motorized recreationists are not rewarded for their willingness to share.

c. The agency should adequately recognize that other users are rewarded for non-sharing behaviors.

d. The agency should adequately recognize that sharing of public resources by all users is a better solution than segregating all users and was not given a hard look.

e. The agency should adequately recognize that motorized recreationists are the only ones to lose opportunities in this planning action and every other planning action and the justice issues associated with that trend.

f. The agency should adequately recognize the issue associated with the statistic that a motorized route closed by the agency has never been re-opened even when needs and conditions have changed.

29. The agency should adequately recognize that citizens should be able to recreate on public lands without the need to create and be part of well-funded influence organizations.

11. Avoid the Unreasonable Use of Climate Change as a Reason to Eliminate Motorized Access and Motorized Recreation

1. The agency should adequately consider that motorized recreation is not a significant contributing factor to purported climate change.

2. The agency should adequately consider that if CO2 is a significant factor, then wildfires and prescribed burns are creating a significant impact and this impact should be adequately addressed and mitigated.

3. The agency should adequately consider that if wildfires are a significant contributor to CO2 and the agency can do something about controlling wildfires.

4. The agency should adequately consider that it is responsible for wildfire smoke which is creating a significant impact on the climate.

5. Climate Change is really Climate Cycle that has naturally occurred every day since the earth was created.

6. The agency should adequately consider that the climate is always changing and that the last ice age was about 12,000 years ago which is not significant in geologic time.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 17 of 32

7. The agency should adequately consider that climate change over the past 12,000 years has significantly reduced the pine forests that once existing in Utah and Nevada and is in the process of reducing them in the central mountains of Idaho.

8. The agency should adequately consider that climate warming that prevents a future ice age and elimination of the human population in the U.S. may not be a bad thing.

9. The agency should adequately consider that climate change is an issue without adequate and impartial scientific basis.
10. The agency should adequately consider that climate scientists will find only what their minds are looking for (confirmation bias).
11. The agency should adequately consider that climate change nonsense and hysteria should not be used to close motorized recreational opportunities.
12. The agency should adequately consider that 100 years of weather data is not adequate to evaluate the trends of climate change and any conclusions made on this basis are erroneous.
13. The climate has always been unpredictable and changing during the time frame of human existence. The agency should adequately consider that while we would like to have a controllable and predictable climate, that desire is not a reasonable expectation.
14. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude must be used to properly identify natural changes that earth has experienced during the time frame of human existence.
15. The agency should adequately consider the big picture climate trends, i.e., there were no humans living in the project area 14,000 years ago due to a sheet of ice and it has been getting warmer and drier ever since that time.
16. The agency should adequately consider applying the laws of thermodynamics, i.e., the total heat energy (enthalpy) of a thermodynamic system (including earth) will always be increasing.
17. The agency should adequately consider Paleo climatology, i.e., the earth is still in the warming cycle following the last ice age.
18. The agency should adequately consider that humans did not cause the ice age climate cycle 12,000 years ago.
19. The agency should adequately consider that it's not just about carbon, the earth has always trapped solar energy and mass is always being converted to energy.
20. The agency should adequately consider that the enthalpy of the earth (total heat content) has always been increasing.
21. The agency should adequately consider that earth's climate has never been static.
22. The agency should adequately consider that the southern limits of western forests have been moving northward since the end of the last ice age.
23. The agency should adequately consider how its wildfires and the Let-It-Burn policy are creating a significant amount of the earth's current climate change.
24. The agency should adequately consider how changes in the output of the sun including the current hyperactive sun cycle and the earth's change magnetic field produce a significant amount of climate change that is not CO2 based. <https://www.cnbc.com/2022/02/09/why-solar-geomagnetic-storms-destroy-satellites-like-spacex-starlink.html>

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 18 of 32

25. The agency should adequately consider the significant impacts that the massive release of CO2 from wildfires has on the natural and human environment.
26. The agency should adequately consider using a sense of magnitude the significant impacts that foreign conditions have on our climate.
27. The agency should adequately consider a plan that avoids burning (both wildfires and planned fires) due to the significant release of CO2 and the significant impact that CO2 is purported to have on the climate.
28. The agency should recognize that the most effective means to control any documented human-induced climate changes is to maintain the world's population at a sustainable level.

12. Provide Adequate Coordination with Local and State Government

1. The agency should adequately consider coordination with all surrounding counties is required

and should be adequately provided.

13. Adequately Recognize and Address RS2477 Route Standing

1. The agency should adequately consider RS2477 route standing and perpetuating those routes for public motorized access and use as originally allowed by the laws and customs in place at the time of their creation.

14. Arbitrary and Capricious Analysis and Decision-Making

14.a Site-Specific Data and Evaluation

1. The agency should adequately consider that good decisions cannot be made without good data.

2. Decisions were made based on beliefs. Decisions were not made on site-specific data including OHV impacts on wildlife and the environment for every motorized route in the project area.

3. The agency should adequately consider that repeating a statement until you believe it is true is not a replacement for site-specific data. For example, there is no site-specific data supporting the statement that wildlife are negatively affected by OHV trails. There is data that supports wildlife using motorized routes and promoting their movement such as the Swan Valley grizzly bear study. Therefore, motorized routes can enhance the movement of wildlife through forested areas that are impassable by downfall.

4. The agency's decision-making should be based on site-specific data and evaluation as required by the 3-State OHV FEIS and ROD, NEPA, and CEQ guidance. Site-specific data is emphasized 11 times in the final 2005 travel management rule. The Off-highway vehicle environmental impact statement and proposed plan amendment for Montana, North Dakota and portions of South Dakota FEIS and ROD, 2001 requires site specific data and analysis of all OHV routes. The FEIS quite specifically states this requirement 354 times. The ROD states this requirement 27 times.

5. For assessment of negative and positive impacts on the natural environment, the agency should develop site specific data, evaluations and comparisons by:

a. Collection and development of site-specific data including monitoring for each route,

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 19 of 32

b. Development of site-specific positive and negative impact evaluations for each route,

c. For both positive and negative impacts on the natural environment, the test of significance should be made using a comparison to the natural level of impacts occurring on each route.

d. The agency should adequately consider that impacts on fish and wildlife should not be assumed and impact analyses should be based on adequate site-specific data and studies.

e. The agency should adequately consider only site-specific data that demonstrates that closures of motorized and dispersed camping opportunities produce significant benefit to the natural environment.

f. The agency should adequately consider that the road density impact criteria are not site-specific and, consequently, not valid for the project area.

g. The agency should adequately consider the need for site-specific data and the value for decision-making as demonstrated by 6 years of monitoring in Yellowstone National Park which demonstrated little impact to wildlife from snowmobiles.

6. For assessment of negative and positive impacts on the human environment, the agency should develop site specific data, evaluations and comparisons by collection and development of site-specific data and evaluations for each route including:

- a. Interviews with motorized recreations to find out their needs and values for each motorized route,
- b. Document why motorized recreationists enjoy this route,
- c. Who is using each road and trail by development of a Need Factor for each route.
 - i. The Need Factor should be based on category of user (non-motorized or motorized), observed number of users during a reasonable monitoring period, and divided by the total number of users.
 - ii. For example, 90 motorized visitors and 10 non-motorized users observed over 4 weekends equals a Need Factor of 0.90 for motorized users and 0.10 for non-motorized users.
 - iii. Route availability should then be based on these Need Factors.
- d. Is this motorized route part of a network or destination?,
- e. Research to document the history of the route including historic wheeled use and historic pioneer and mining use.
- f. Quality of the route,
- g. Alternatives that would allow sharing of the route,
- h. Document who is working to maintain the route,
- i. Site-specific data for each of the claimed negative impacts from motorized access and motorized recreation on the natural environment,
- j. Site-specific data and analysis of e-bike recreation,

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 20 of 32

- k. The agency should adequately consider that motorized recreation and dispersed camping opportunities should not be closed without site-specific data and analysis as required by NEPA, CEQ guidance and the 3-States OHV ROD,
- l. and benefits to the human environment including flow by use of the route.

7. The agency should adequately monitor evaluations and decisions so that they are not made based on beliefs and are made on site-specific data.

8. The agency should adequately monitor the use of an adequate sense of magnitude in the evaluations and decision-making so that decisions are not arbitrary and capricious by comparing naturally occurring levels of impact to the impacts of human use established by data and site-specific data.

9. The agency should adequately monitor whether the purported negative impacts of motorized recreation including e-bike have sufficient and appropriate site-specific data and studies and are being compared to natural levels.

10. The agency should adequately monitor whether site-specific data that compares any purported impact of significance from motorized recreation and dispersed camping to the naturally occurring levels of impact and change is being used.

11. The agency should have their recreation team visit the project area during the weekends and seek out motorized recreationists so that they have site-specific information on needs necessary to adequately evaluate the number of motorized recreationists, types of motorized recreation and visitors accessing the project area.

12. The agency should use adequate site-specific data and evaluations to support more motorized recreational opportunities in the decision-making and record of decision.

13. The agency should revisit any motorized closures that were enacted without adequate site-specific data.

14.b Employ a Sense of Magnitude

1. The agency should adequately consider that the public is losing a lifetime of motorized access and motorized recreational opportunities for reasons that are not significant when judged with a reasonable sense of magnitude.
2. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude for public needs should be used when managing wilderness versus multiple-use land.
3. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude should be used to identify the significant cumulative impact that motorized recreationists have experienced over the past 40 years.
4. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude must be used to properly identify natural changes that earth has experienced during the time frame of human existence.
5. The agency should adequately consider using a sense of magnitude the significant impacts that foreign conditions have on our climate.
6. The agency should adequately consider that the analysis and decision-making for claimed impacts should be based on an adequate sense of magnitude which can only be established by comparing impacts based on science and site-specific data to natural levels.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 21 of 32

7. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude should be used in the evaluations and decision-making so that decisions are not arbitrary and capricious by comparing naturally occurring levels of impact to the impacts of human use established by data and site-specific data.
8. The agency should adequately consider analysis and evaluations based on site-specific data and studies that support an unbiased view and sense of magnitude regarding the impacts of motorized recreation on the natural environment.
9. The agency should adequately compare impacts from all types of visitors to natural impacts in order to demonstrate a true sense of magnitude for all impacts and so that impacts are not over-stated.
10. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude should be used in the evaluations and decision-making so that potential impacts on the natural environment including fish and wildlife are compared to the naturally occurring range of impacts and that this approach is necessary in order to keep impacts from being over-stated and decisions from being arbitrary and capricious.
11. The agency should adequately consider that impacts from all user groups should be adequately compared to the natural level of impacts in order to demonstrate and use a true sense of magnitude for analysis and decision-making.
12. The agency should adequately consider a sense of magnitude in the evaluations and decision-making so that potential impacts on the natural environment are compared to naturally occurring impacts and decisions avoid being arbitrary and capricious.
13. The agency should employ an adequate sense of magnitude so that the record of decision provides a reasonable level of multiple-use and motorized recreation.
14. The agency should employ a reasonable sense of magnitude so that decisions with relatively insignificant improvement to natural resources do not cause relatively significant impacts on human resources.
15. The agency should adequately consider that vegetation management actions other than burning reduce the fuel loading and result in less damaging wildfires and less CO₂ release.

14.c Other Areas

1. The agency should adequately consider that theories based on impartial data and studies to back them should not be used to close motorized opportunities.
2. The agency should adequately consider studies that support OHV recreation or provide an

unbiased analysis of OHV recreation.

3. The agency should adequately recognize when bogus issues based on an anti-motorized bias are presented as justification to close valuable motorized access and motorized recreational opportunities.

4. The agency should adequately consider that by assigning equal impacts to single-track motorcycle/e-bike trails versus ATV trails versus gravel roads versus highways that the road density criteria is flawed and should not be used.

5. The agency should adequately consider that;

a. Activities other than OHV recreation have a greater impact on wildlife,

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 22 of 32

b. Repeating and exaggerating nontruths about the negative impacts of motorized recreation does not make them true and represents arbitrary and capricious decision-making.

6. The agency should adequately develop site-specific Need Factors for each route and the decision establishing the amount of non-motorized versus motorized opportunity should be informed and reliable.

15. NEPA and Environmental Justice Issues

15.a Flawed Purpose and Need Process

1. Motorized recreationists need trail opportunities similar the abundant hiking and mountain bike trail systems. The purpose and need process circumvented identifying and addressing this critical issue.

2. The agency should adequately recognize that restricting comments to only those that address specific routes does not adequately address the overarching significant issues that are negatively impacting motorized recreationists.

3. The agency should not use comment rules so that significant issues and comments from motorized recreationists are dismissed.

4. The purpose and need developed by the agency should adequately address the needs and significant issues associated with motorized recreation.

15.b Travel Management Rule And 3-State OHV ROD

1. Prior to the 2005 Travel Management Rule, motorized recreationists had a reasonable opportunity to enjoy motorized access, motorized roads, and motorized trails. Now with nearly 20 years under the travel management rule motorized recreationists are subject to motorized closure upon motorized closure and the cumulative impact associated with those closures has become significant yet ignored by the agency.

a. Motorized recreationists did not expect the travel management rule to be a massive closure action and accepted it on that basis.

b. Motorized recreationists did not expect the travel management rule to be a massive closure action, but the agency has chosen to implement the travel management rule that way.

c. Motorized recreationists did not expect the travel management rule and 3-State OHV ROD to be a massive closure actions, but the agency has chosen to implement the travel management rule and 3-State OHV ROD that way.

d. The travel management rule and 3-State OHV ROD were not adequately evaluated and disclosed to the public as massive, motorized closure actions.

e. This implementation and the ultimate significant end impact of the travel

management rule and 3-State OHV ROD on the public was not adequately identified, was not adequately evaluated, was not adequately disclosed, and was not adequately mitigated.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 23 of 32

f. The agency's implementation of the travel management rule and 3-State OHV ROD must be corrected to address these deficiencies starting with the South Plateau record of decision.

2. The Supreme Court released a decision in *West Virginia vs. EPA* (https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/21pdf/20-1530_n758.pdf), that established when federal agencies are exceeding their regulatory powers. This decision should result in opportunities for examination of existing regulatory powers for federal agencies. For example, if Congress hasn't given explicit authorization to create Subpart C travel management rules to regulate OHV and snowmobiling recreation, then the agency may not have the regulatory authority to create these rules.

15.c Inadequate Disclosure

1. The agency should adequately disclose the miles of existing roads and trails closed to OHV and motor vehicle use.

15.d Human and Natural Environment Not Considered Equally

1. The analysis and decision should consider the Human and Natural Environment equally as intended by 1969 NEPA.

15.e Education - A Reasonable Alternative

1. The agency should adequately recognize that closure takes away needed motorized recreational opportunities and education in place of closure can be used to address issues with existing motorized recreational opportunities.

2. The agency should adequately consider that it is overwhelming the public with involvement requirements and catering to well-funded activist groups with paid representatives.

3. The Agency should adequately identify the needs of the silent majority including motorized recreationists and OHV recreationists and reasonably provide for those needs.

4. The agency should adequately consider that education can be part of creating new motorized recreational opportunities.

5. The agency should adequately consider that all potential negative issues associated with non-motorized and motorized recreationists can be mitigated by education and that education of all visitors is a better alternative than closure.

6. The agency should adequately address that the trail rangers should only be used with the purpose of education, mentoring and education of fellow OHV recreationists

7. The agency should adequately consider that;

a. Education of all recreationists is a reasonable alternative and the most equitable alternative.

b. Education as an alternative to motorized closures should be exercised and increased.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 24 of 32

c. Education can be used with all ages and is especially effective with our youth.

8. The agency should adequately consider that fish and wildlife can coexist and prosper with OHV recreation when using public education to protect them.

9. The agency should adequately consider alternatives to motorized closures such as public education that would mitigate concerns with the natural environment.
10. The agency's education program should include OHV enthusiasts on the agency's staff and effective outreach to individual motorized recreationists and motorized groups.

15.f Collaboration

1. Use of collaboration is reasonable only if all users benefit.

15.g Sharing of Multiple-Use Lands

1. The agency should adequately recognize that everyone has a duty to participate in sharing of public resources and especially on lands designed for multiple-use by congress.
 - a. The agency should adequately recognize that OHV recreationists are willing to share recreational opportunities with all other recreationists.
 - b. The agency should adequately recognize that motorized recreationists are not rewarded for their willingness to share.
 - c. The agency should adequately recognize that other users are rewarded for non-sharing behaviors.
 - d. The agency should adequately recognize that sharing of public resources by all users is a better solution than segregating all users and was not given a hard look.
 - e. The agency should adequately recognize that motorized recreationists are the only ones to lose opportunities in this planning action and every other planning action and the justice issues associated with that trend.
 - f. The agency should adequately recognize the issue associated with the statistic that a motorized route closed by the agency has never been re-opened even when needs and conditions have changed.

15.h Justice Issues

1. Motorized recreationists endorsed and accepted millions of acres of area restriction under the Off-Highway Vehicle Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Plan Amendment for Montana, North Dakota and South Dakota (3-State OHV) decision (<http://www.mt.blm.gov/ea/ohv/FSROD.pdf>) and the Travel Management; Designated Routes and Areas for Motor Vehicle Use, Final Rule (<http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/programs/ohv/final.pdf>) as a positive action to control environmental impacts.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 25 of 32

- a. We accepted area restriction and not area closure. Area closure is permanent. Area restriction allows flexibility as needed to address site-specific conditions. Each motorized road and trail exists because it serves some multiple-use need.
- b. Every road and trail is important to some individual for some purpose. Each motorized road and trail should have adequate site-specific analysis to determine all of its values including motorized recreational value.
- c. Motorized recreationists gave up 97% of the area historically available to them under both the 3-State ROD and the travel management rule as the ultimate act of mitigation so that we would continue to have use of existing motorized routes that cover or provide access to an area estimated at less than 3% of the total area.
- d. Now motorized recreationists have been given almost no credit for our cooperation during that action and we have only been penalized for our past cooperation by current route designations, land management plans, forest plans and travel plans

that seek to close 50% to 75% of the existing motorized routes.

e. This outcome was not part of the 3-State OHV ROD and travel management rule and this level of closure is not acceptable to us for that reason. The 3-State OHV ROD and travel management rule were not made with the intention of massive closures beyond that agreement.

f. We ask that Divide action include proper recognition of the original agreements behind the 3-State OHV ROD and travel management rule decisions which allow continued use of the existing networks of motorized roads and trails without massive motorized closures.

2. The agency should adequately consider that the decision provided recreational opportunities for non-motorized recreationists in greater abundance and quality than the recreational opportunities for motorized recreationists.

3. The agency should develop site-specific data and Need Factors for each route and the decision establishing the amount of non-motorized versus motorized opportunity is uninformed and unreliable.

4. The agency should not create non-motorized recreational opportunities by taking opportunities from motorized recreationists.

5. The agency should adequately consider that Anti-mechanized interests are not free of mechanized. They use vehicles in their daily lives. However, anti-mechanized interests choose to impose their level of mechanized use on others.

6. The agency should carefully consider the social justice issues associated with imposing their will on motorized recreationists.

a. Open-minded people do not work to impose their beliefs on other people.

b. Open-minded people accept all of life's perspectives and realities.

c. Open-minded people do their own thing in peace without judgement of other people.

7. The agency should avoid actions that allow one user group to impose their will on another user group.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 26 of 32

8. The agency should adequately consider that the endless lawsuits from environmental groups is an attempt to impose their non-use agenda and is counter to the greater needs of the public for multiple-use of our public lands.

9. The agency should adequately consider that NEPA, CEQ guidance and other environmental laws are not neutral and have not worked equally for all recreation groups.

10. The agency should adequately consider need for and use of site-specific data and evaluations for each and every motorized route including consulting with an adequate cross-section of local motorized users.

11. The agency should adequately consider the quality of the human environment including the need and value of motorized recreational opportunities.

12. The Agency should not use comment rules so that significant issues and comments from motorized recreationists are dismissed.

13. The agency should not create non-motorized recreational opportunities by taking opportunities from motorized recreationists.

14. The agency should adequately evaluate whether it is developing and maintaining motorized trails at a level equal to non-motorized trails.

15. The agency should adequately consider all of the requirements of the Equity Action Plan with respect to motorized recreationists.

16. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/equity/#:-:text=Equity%20Action%20Plans%20were%20required,and%20partnership%20with%20all%20communities>

17. The agency should adequately recognize that motorized recreationists are the only ones to lose opportunities in this planning action and every other planning action and the justice issues associated with that trend.

16. Avoid Overstating the Impact of Motorized Access and Motorized Recreation on Fish and Wildlife

1. The agency should adequately consider analysis and evaluations based on site-specific data and studies that support an unbiased view and sense of magnitude regarding the impacts of motorized recreation on the natural environment.
2. The agency should adequately compare impacts from all types of visitors to natural impacts in order to demonstrate a true sense of magnitude for impacts.
3. The agency should adequately consider alternatives to wholesale motorized closures that would mitigate fish and wildlife concerns should be given a hard look.
4. The agency should adequately consider that the road density impact criteria are not site-specific and, consequently, not valid for the project area.
5. The agency should adequately consider that the road density impact criteria over-estimates the impact of motorized recreation on wildlife and does not reasonably consider mitigation measures and alternatives that could be implemented.
6. The agency should adequately consider that the road density impact criteria are not a reasonable measure of motorized impact on wildlife habitat.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 27 of 32

7. The agency should adequately consider that;
 - a. Topography is a significant factor affecting wildlife habitat.
 - b. The vertical topography in the project area greatly reduces the impact on wildlife and is just as effective as or more effective than cover.
 - c. The analysis should reasonably consider topography.
8. The agency should adequately consider that;
 - a. A motorized trail does not have the same impact on wildlife as a road.
 - b. The impact analysis should not assume that one size of impact fits all motorized uses.
 - c. A criteria and impact analysis should be developed that differentiates between different tread widths and level of use including traffic counts.
9. The agency should adequately consider that;
 - a. OHVs cause less severe disturbance of wildlife because the relatively low level of sound that they emit provides a soft warning of human presence compared to non-motorized recreation.
 - b. For example, OHVs have never had a damaging encounter with a bear including grizzlies while hikers and hunters have had many that have ended badly for both the humans and the bear.
10. The agency should adequately consider that motorized closures are being enacted using the Endangered Species Act when there is no site-specific data and studies documenting a significant connection between OHV and e-bike recreation and significant impacts on an endangered species.
11. The agency should adequately consider that there are other impacts on fish and wildlife including natural processes that are far more significant than motorized recreation.
12. The agency should adequately consider that adequately documented OHV impacts should be compared to natural levels and natural changes in order to avoid impacts being over-stated and

leading to arbitrary and capricious decision-making.

13. The agency should adequately consider that fish and wildlife can coexist and prosper with OHV recreation when using public education to protect them.

14. The agency should adequately consider that;

a. Human activities other than OHV recreation have a greater impact on wildlife and the natural environment.

b. Repeating and exaggerating nontruths about the negative impacts of motorized recreation on fish and wildlife does not make them true and represents arbitrary and capricious decision-making.

15. The agency should adequately consider that a sense of magnitude should be used in the evaluations and decision-making so that potential impacts on fish and wildlife are compared to the naturally occurring range of impacts and that this approach is necessary in order to keep decisions from being arbitrary and capricious.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 28 of 32

16. The agency should adequately consider that in many cases wildlife populations are at all-time highs and in excess of the carrying capacity of the land.

17. The agency should adequately consider that negative impacts to fish and wildlife from wildfires are thousands of times greater than OHV recreation.

18. The agency should adequately consider giving much needed motorized recreation opportunities a higher priority in the decision-making.

19. The agency should adequately consider that wildlife deaths including grizzly bear deaths are associated with hiking and hunting and that a bear has never been killed by an OHV.

20. The agency should adequately consider the need for site-specific data and the value for decision-making as demonstrated by 6 years of monitoring in Yellowstone National Park which demonstrated little impact to wildlife from snowmobiles.

21. The agency should revisit any motorized closures that were enacted without site-specific data.

17. Avoid Overstating the Impact of Motorized Access and Motorized Recreation on the Natural Environment

1. The agency should adequately consider that the analysis should develop data and studies that supports an unbiased and a balanced view of how motorized recreation impacts the natural environment.

2. The agency should adequately consider developing and using adequate site-specific data and studies as required by NEPA, CEQ guidance and the 3-States OHV ROD in order to justify closure of any motorized opportunity.

3. The agency should adequately consider that impacts from all user groups should be adequately compared to the natural level of impacts in order to demonstrate and use a true sense of magnitude for analysis and decision-making.

4. The agency should adequately consider alternatives to motorized closures such as public education that would mitigate concerns with the natural environment.

5. The agency should adequately consider that;

a. A motorized trail does not have the same impact on the natural environment as a road.

b. The impact analysis should not assume that one size fits all.

c. A criteria and impact analysis should be developed that differentiates between different trends and level of use.

6. The agency should adequately consider that there are other natural processes that create more significant impacts than motorized recreation.

7. The agency should adequately consider that the analysis of documental OHV impacts should be compared to natural levels and natural changes in order to avoid impacts being over-stated and leading to arbitrary and capricious decision-making.

8. The agency should adequately consider that the negative impacts on the natural environment from dispersed camping sites is relatively insignificant when compared to the natural level of environmental impacts.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 29 of 32

9. The agency should adequately consider that any significant negative impacts on the natural environment from dispersed camping spots can be mitigated to a reasonable level in most locations.

10. The agency should adequately consider that wilderness visitors deposit their waste in the wilderness and RV campers dispose of their waste at treatment facilities.

11. The agency should adequately consider that self-contained campers have an acceptable and minimal environmental impact and more dispersed camping sites need to be created to serve this popular form of recreation.

12. The agency should adequately consider a sense of magnitude in the evaluations and decision-making so that potential impacts on the natural environment are compared to naturally occurring impacts and decisions avoid being arbitrary and capricious.

13. The agency should not complain about the impact in high use areas because the agency created significant impacts on the natural and human environment by squeezing 93% of the visitors (motorized recreationists) into an inadequate number of areas and opportunities.

a. The agency should take responsibility and mitigate all impacts associated with this issue.

14. The agency should adequately consider giving much needed motorized recreation opportunities a higher priority in the decision-making.

15. Compared to pre-travel management rule conditions, the agency has created a greater level of impact on the natural environment by squeezing recreationists into an inadequate area and road and trail system.

18. Motorized References Should Be Adequately Considered

1. The analysis should adequately consider all information and references that;

- a. Support the need for motorized recreation,
- b. Document the value of motorized recreation to both the economy and human health,
- c. Identify alternatives that mitigate any impacts that are adequately documented,
- d. Develop alternatives that enhance motorized recreation.
- e. Available motorized trail design and maintenance references include:

- 1. Trail Construction and Maintenance Notebook USDA
- 2. A Comprehensive Framework for OHV Trail Mgmt USDA
- 3. Sustainable ATV Trails USDA
- 4. Designing Sustainable OHV Trails USDA
- 5. Keeping water off the trail USDA
- 6. Off-Highway Vehicle Program Route and Designation Guide USDA
- 7. Standard Trail Plans and Specifications USDA
- 8. Sustainable Trail Bridge Design USDA
- 9. Marshall University OHV Courses
- 10. <https://nohvcc.org/assistance/manager-assistance/online-resource-hub/>
- 11. NOHVCC Webinars
- 12. <https://nohvcc.org/economic-impact-studies/>

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 30 of 32

13. <https://nohvcc.org/assistance/manager-assistance/great-trails-projects/>
14. <https://nohvcc.org/education/manager-education/great-trails-guidebook/>
15. ATV Route Guideline Manual
16. Wernex Report for Design Construction Maintenance AMA
17. Off Highway Motorcycle and ATV Trail Management U of I
18. <https://go.campendium.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/2022CamperReport.pdf>
19. Slow and Say Hello

2. The agency should adequately consider that observing motorized tracks cannot always be used as evidence of motorized use because we practice "Tread Lightly" and the rain, wind, and snow erase our tracks.

3. Opening dates should be based on weekends, for example open for use on 2nd weekend in May. Closing dates should be based on a weekday, for example, closed for use on 2nd Monday in October.

19. Adequately Consider Maintenance, Funding and Gas Tax Issues

1. The agency should adequately consider that an equitable percentage of the gas tax paid by OHV recreationists has not been returned to OHV recreation.
2. The agency should adequately consider that an equitable percentage of the gas tax paid by OHV recreationists has not been returned to OHV recreation for a very long time and the cumulative effects are significant.
3. The agency should adequately consider the significant issues surrounding the inequality of maintenance funding, design and construction funding and gas tax funding with respect to motorized recreation versus non-motorized recreation.
4. The agency should adequately consider that if motorized is removed, then motorized funds should not have been used in the area at any time in the past.
5. The agency should adequately consider that if motorized is removed, then motorized funds used previously in the area should be equitably returned for use on new motorized projects.
6. The agency should adequately consider that that there are significant new funding sources available for motorized trails at both federal and state levels.
7. The agency should adequately consider that based on the significant need and past inequalities, motorized trail maintenance should be the first priority for all available trail maintenance funding.
8. Without public involvement, motorized route maintenance just goes away and so do rideable routes. The agency is not keeping up with motorized route maintenance. Maintenance takes a long-term commitment and this should be the agency's priority.
9. Whether intentional or not, lack of maintenance is effectively closing motorized routes.
 - a. This trend adds to the cumulative effect of motorized closures.
 - b. This trend is counter to the needs of the public.
 - c. The agency needs to develop a nationwide initiative to restore and enhance all recreation facilities including trails and roads (REAL).

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 31 of 32

10. The agency should adequately consider and practice restoring all roads and trails as part of their burned area and flood restoration actions restoration of the trail tread and removal of

downfall blocking routes.

11. The agency should team with the Post Wildfire OHV Recovery Alliance (PWORA). PWORA is a national non-profit organization founded to protect and restore sustainable OHV recreation from the devastating effects of intense wildfires and other natural disasters. <http://pwora.org/>

12. The agency should adequately recognize and address the fact that they perform little to no maintenance on motorized routes.

13. The agency can significantly address erosion issues associated with motorized routes by constructing and maintaining water bars, rolling dips, etc.

14. The agency should adequately consider and address the need to adequately sign and maintain motorized routes during times when they are disturbed by timber and vegetation actions.

We are a locally supported association whose purpose is to preserve trails for all recreationists through responsible environmental protection and education.

Page 32 of 32